

**Greenhouse economics: the Australian Government mobilises the  
numbers in the lead up to Kyoto.**

**Honours Thesis**

**By**

**RONLYN DUNCAN**

**Submitted in partial fulfilment of the requirements of a B.A. (Honours) degree  
with the School of Science and Technology Studies, Faculty of Arts & Social  
Sciences, University of New South Wales, Sydney.**

**November 1997.**

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

INTRODUCTION .....	4
CHAPTER ONE .....	9
<i>Why is the Australian government refusing to adopt legally binding reduction targets? A survey of its perspective as the world's largest coal exporter and the most to lose from an acceptance of climate change as a serious environmental threat .....</i>	
	9
CHAPTER TWO .....	19
<i>The existence of uncertainty in regulatory science debates means that the 'interpretative gap' is bridged by domains usually thought to be external to science.</i>	
	19
CHAPTER 3 .....	30
<i>Quantification is a powerful style of rhetoric, but is it also a misplacement of trust?</i>	
	30
CHAPTER FOUR.....	38
<i>Despite economic models embodying mathematical language and notions of objectivity and authority, their rhetorical value is in their 'interpretative flexibility'.</i>	
	38
CHAPTER FIVE .....	45
<i>The 'contextualisation' of MEGABARE and the proliferation of informal rules to create a useful rhetorical device in support of the Australian government's position in climate change negotiations .....</i>	
	45

CHAPTER SIX.....	62
<i>The mobilisation of MEGABARE and its role in overshadowing the 'environmental reality' of climate change with an 'economic reality' .....</i>	<i>62</i>
CHAPTER 7 .....	77
<i>Climate change might be happening elsewhere in the world, but it is not happening in Australia.....</i>	<i>77</i>
CONCLUSIONS.....	97
WORKS CONSULTED .....	101
APPENDIX 1 .....	109
APPENDIX 2:.....	111
APPENDIX 3 .....	112
APPENDIX 5 .....	114